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## 2

## Curve fitting and regression

In many practical problems, when collecting data, we may find that two (or more) R.V.s may exhibit a relationship
It seems so natural to exploit this and express this fact using a mathematical function (model)

- The trick here would be to find the model that FITS the best our data (we also say that it connects the R.V.s)
Although this technique is well established and used, still some experience is needed when we want to choose the right model (this also may be driven by the physics of the phenomena, e.g., radioactive decay)
NOTE, we may sometimes, when the relation is very complicated, or we are dealing with many dimensions, use the machine learning approach - in fact the linear regression can also be treated as machine learning
Let's get started then - what comes is real life, learn it!


## First steps

Usually we make first the scatter plot using collected data and take a look...


- The solid lines above are called approximating curves
$\square$ What we need to work out is the equation of this curve
$\square$ That task is called curve fitting
$\square$ Often to understand the relation, we may need to apply some transformation(s) to the variables
$\square$ NOTE. This is related to the approximation of parameters


## Least squares again!

- We have already encounter that technique when discussed general estimation theory. The idea is again the same we are going to minimise squares of residuals
$\square$ Again, the goal is to estimate a bunch of parameters but this time this is going to lead us to bit different result
$\square$ kets define the data as pairs: $\left\{\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right),\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right\}$, next we make the scatter plot


$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) \rightarrow d_{1} \\
\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right) \rightarrow d_{2} \\
\vdots \\
\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right) \rightarrow d_{i} \\
\Delta=\min \left\{\sum_{i} d_{i}^{2}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Least squares

$\square$ So, if we pick-up a given family of approximating curves the one with the property $\Delta=\min \left\{\sum_{i} d_{i}^{2}\right\}$ will be the best fitting or least-squares curve

- Certainly, we can also discriminate between families (for instance the linear model or parabola)
- Silently, we assume that the uncertainties of the independent $(x)$ variable is much smaller than on ( $y$ ) variable
Formally we can also switch the axes (treat the y variable as independent)

Let's start discussing the linear model fit

## LS line

$\square$ Here, we consider that our data set show linear dependency, which we denote as: $y=a_{0}+a_{1} x$ (we will call $a_{0}$ the intercept and $a_{1}$ slope or gradient)
To determine the parameters we need to solve

$d_{i}=a_{0}+a_{1} x_{i}-y_{i}$
$\Delta=\sum_{i} d_{i}^{2}=\sum_{i}\left(a_{0}+a_{1} x_{i}-y_{i}\right)^{2}$
$\Delta=\Delta\left(a_{0}, a_{1}\right) \rightarrow \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial a_{0}}=0, \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial a_{1}}=0$

## 7 LS line - normal equations

Searching for the extremum we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial a_{0}}=\sum_{i} 2 \cdot\left(a_{0}+a_{1} x_{i}-y_{i}\right)=0 \\
& \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial a_{1}}=\sum_{i} 2 \cdot x \cdot\left(a_{0}+a_{1} x_{i}-y_{i}\right)=0 \\
& \sum_{i} y_{i}=a_{0} n+a_{1} \sum_{i} x_{i} \\
& \sum_{i} x_{i} y_{i}=a_{0} \sum_{i} x_{i}+a_{1} \sum_{i} x_{i}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\square$ These two we call the normal equation for the LS line
$a_{0}=\frac{\sum y \cdot \sum x^{2}-\sum x \cdot \sum x y}{n \cdot \sum x^{2}-\left(\sum x\right)^{2}} \quad a_{1}=\frac{n \cdot \sum x y-\sum x \cdot \sum y}{n \cdot \sum x^{2}-\left(\sum x\right)^{2}}$
$\sum x \equiv \sum_{i} x_{i}, e t c$.

## 8 <br> LS line - normal equations

- The second equation can be written in more convenient way:

$$
a_{1}=\frac{\sum(x-\bar{x})(y-\bar{y})}{\sum(x-\bar{x})^{2}} \text { This "looks like" covariance }
$$

We can divide the first normal equation by $n$

$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} y_{i}=\frac{1}{n}\left(a_{0} n+a_{1} \sum_{i} x_{i}\right) \rightarrow \bar{y}=a_{0}+a_{1} \bar{x} \rightarrow a_{0}=\bar{y}-a_{1} \bar{x}
$$

And, we can write the LS line as:

$$
y-\bar{y}=\frac{\sum(x-\bar{x})(y-\bar{y})}{\sum(x-\bar{x})^{2}}(x-\bar{x})
$$

This is an interesting result, since it shows clearly that the LS line goes through the point ( $\bar{x}, \bar{y}$ ) - it is called the centroid of the data
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## LS line - simple(r) way

The SL line equation can be simplified using the sample variance and covariance

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{x}^{2}=\frac{\sum_{i}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{n-1}, s_{y}^{2}=\frac{\sum_{i}\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}}{n-1}, s_{x y}=\frac{\sum_{i, j}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)\left(y_{j}-\bar{y}\right)}{n-1} \\
& y-\bar{y}=\frac{s_{x y}}{s_{x}^{2}}(x-\bar{x}) \quad x-\bar{x}=\frac{s_{x y}}{s_{y}^{2}}(y-\bar{y})
\end{aligned}
$$

And with the sample correlation coefficient $r=\frac{s_{x y}}{s_{x} s_{y}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{y-\bar{y}}{s_{y}}=r\left(\frac{x-\bar{x}}{s_{x}}\right) \quad \frac{x-\bar{x}}{s_{x}}=r\left(\frac{y-\bar{y}}{s_{y}}\right) \\
& z_{y}=r z_{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\square$ This is of outmost interest - the lines that are obtained for $(x, y)$ pairs will be in general different than for $(y, x)$ pairs
$\square$ The equivalence is possible only when the correlation coefficient is $r= \pm 1$

## 11 <br> SL parabola

Following the same idea we can get employ more complicated model, for instance if we use the parabola equation: $y=a_{0}+a_{1} x+a_{2} x^{2}$
Now the sum of the square of residuals will lead to three normal equations for each of the parameters $a_{i}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum y=n a_{0}+a_{1} \sum x+a_{2} \sum x^{2} \\
& \sum x y=a_{0} \sum x+a_{1} \sum x^{2}+a_{2} \sum x^{3} \\
& \sum x^{2} y=a_{0} \sum x^{2}+a_{1} \sum x^{3}+a_{2} \sum x^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\square$ Usually, for more complicated models we use computer libraries to make the calculations for us or machine learning approach
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## Multiple regression

It is just as easy to extend this idea to higher dimensions, for instance the dependence between 3 R.V.s

$$
z=a+a_{x} x+a_{y} y, \text { or } x_{3}=a_{0}+a_{1} x_{1}+a_{2} x_{2}
$$

Formally, this is a plane equation, thus, we call it the regression plane. Again we can use the least-squares principle to find our normal equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum z=n a+a_{x} \sum x+a_{y} \sum y \\
& \sum x z=n \sum x+a_{x} \sum x^{2}+a_{y} \sum x y \\
& \sum y z=n \sum y+a_{x} \sum x y+a_{y} \sum y^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

- It is quite popular in the domain of machine learning


## 13 <br> Estimate error

as usual, we should take into account that the job is not yet done if we do not give error on the estimated parameters We can define the ,,standard" error of the estimate

$$
s_{y \mid x}=\sqrt{\frac{\sum\left(y-y_{t h}\right)^{2}}{n-1}}=\sqrt{\frac{\sum(y-\hat{y})^{2}}{n-1}}
$$

Where $y_{\text {th }}(\hat{y})$ denotes the value calculated using the estimated line (sometimes it is called theory point)
We see immediately that the LS curve will have the smallest standard error of estimate

$$
s_{y \mid x}^{2}=\frac{\sum y^{2}-a_{0} \sum y-a_{1} \sum x y}{n-1}
$$

- This estimator, has properties similar to those of standard deviation


## Estimate error

- This analogy can be made a bit more intuitive: if we draw a pair of lines parallel to the LS line at respective vertical distances of $\pm s_{y \mid x}$ then we should expect that about $68 \%$ of the sampling point will be between them
It is then easy to extend this for distances of $\pm 2 s_{y \mid x}$ and $\pm 3 s_{y \mid x}$



## Linear correlation coefficient

- The square of the standard error of estimate can be written as:
$s_{y \mid x}^{2}=\frac{\sum(y-\bar{y})^{2}-a_{1} \sum(x-\bar{x})(y-\bar{y})}{n}$
$\square$ And using the variance and correlation coefficient
$s^{2} y \mid x=s_{y}^{2}\left(1-r^{2}\right)$
Combining these definitions we have:

$$
r^{2}=1-\frac{\sum\left(y-y_{t h}\right)^{2}}{\sum(y-\bar{y})^{2}}=\frac{\sum(y-\bar{y})^{2}-\sum\left(y-y_{t h}\right)^{2}}{\sum(y-\bar{y})^{2}}
$$

$\square$ Also: $\sum(y-\bar{y})^{2}=\sum\left(y-y_{t h}\right)^{2}+\sum\left(y_{t h}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}$, and combining with the above we get

$$
r^{2}=\frac{\sum\left(y_{t h}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}}{\sum(y-\bar{y})^{2}}=\frac{\text { explained variation }}{\text { total variation }}
$$

## Explained variation

- Machine learning very often uses the $r$-squared as a specific goodness of fit metric. It is also much easier to interpret
$r^{2}=1-\frac{\sum\left(y-y_{t h}\right)^{2}}{\sum(y-\bar{y})^{2}}=\frac{\sum(y-\bar{y})^{2}-\sum\left(y-y_{\text {th }}\right)^{2}}{\sum(y-\bar{y})^{2}}=\frac{V[\text { mean }]-V[\text { line }]}{V[\text { mean }]}$

- The „red residuals" will never be larger than the „purple residuals", so the $r$-squared will be always between 0 and 1 or we can measure it in percents


## Explained variation

Say, for the previous example we obtained: $V[$ mean $]=36$ and $V[$ line $]=8$, thus

$$
r^{2}=\frac{V[\text { mean }]-V[\text { line }]}{V[\text { mean }]}=\frac{36-8}{36}=0.78
$$

We say, that most of the variation (78\%) seen in our data can be explained by the line - or by the relationship between our variables. So, applying the model makes sense!


Here making the fit does not make much sense...

## Sampling properties of LS

- The complete treatment of the estimated parameters' uncertainty is out of the scope of this lecture. I just give you somewhat simplified version - but still important and perfectly usable in practice!
$\square$ Assume that our model can be written as follow:

$$
y_{i}=a_{0}+a_{1} x_{i}+d_{i}
$$

We assume that the residuals are independent of one another and are distributed with $\mu=0$ and $V\left[d_{i}\right]=\sigma^{2}$
Let's start discussing the constraint fit (with the point $(0,0)$ ), from slide 9 we know that the best estimate of the slope in that case is

$$
\hat{a}_{1}=\frac{\sum x y}{\sum x^{2}}
$$

$\square$ It is quite easy to show, that this estimator is unbiased, $E\left[\widehat{a}_{1}\right]=$ $\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbf{1}}$ and its variance $V\left[\widehat{\boldsymbol{a}}_{1}\right]=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{\sum x^{2}}$

## Sampling properties of LS

- The variance of the slope estimator says a few interesting things - to improve the estimate, we should take more data, improve the precision of measurement of each data point (expressed as $\sigma^{2}$ ) and the most important measurements are done close to the origin point!
$\square$ If we assume that the residuals are normally distributed (not too crazy assumption), we find that the slope estimator is also normally distributed:

$$
\hat{a}_{1} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(a_{1}, \frac{\sigma^{2}}{\sum_{i} x_{i}^{2}}\right)
$$

- In case, when the distribution is not Gaussian or the variance is not known one can use the regression estimation variance

$$
s_{y \mid x}=\sqrt{\frac{\sum\left(y-y_{t h}\right)^{2}}{n-1}} \rightarrow s_{y \mid x}^{2}=\frac{\sum\left(y-y_{t h}\right)^{2}}{n-1} \rightarrow s_{y \mid x}^{2}=\frac{\sum_{i}\left(y_{i}-\widehat{y}_{i}\right)^{2}}{n-1}
$$

## Sampling properties of LS

- We are familiar with this estimator already! It follows the $\chi^{2}$ distribution:

$$
\frac{(n-1) s_{y \mid x}^{2}}{\sigma^{2}} \sim \chi^{2}(n-1)
$$

And, in that case the distribution of the slope estimator is:

$$
\frac{\hat{a}_{1}-a_{1}}{s_{y \mid x} / \sqrt{\sum_{i} x_{i}^{2}}} \sim t(n-1)
$$

Now we can calculate the C.I. for the slope and even test hypothesis related to estimated slope!

## C.I. for the slope

- Ex. 1 Let's assume that we performed the procedure of LS line estimation and obtained $\hat{a}_{1}=1.289$, the sum of residual squares are $\sum_{i}\left(y_{i}-\hat{y}_{i}\right)^{2}=107.30$ and the number of points in our data set was 20.
- The estimate variance:

$$
s_{y \mid x}^{2}=\frac{\sum_{i}\left(y_{i}-\hat{y}_{i}\right)^{2}}{n-1}=\frac{107.30}{19}=5.647
$$

So, the $90 \%$ C.I. for the slope can be constructed as follow:
C.I. $._{90 \%}^{t}\left(\hat{a}_{1}\right)=\left(\hat{a}_{1}-t_{0.9} \frac{s_{y \mid x}}{\sqrt{\sum_{i} x_{i}^{2}}}, \hat{a}_{1}+t_{0.9} \frac{s_{y \mid x}}{\sqrt{\sum_{i} x_{i}^{2}}}\right)=$
$=\left(1.289-1.729 \frac{\sqrt{5.647}}{\sqrt{6226.38}}, \hat{a}_{1}+1.729 \frac{\sqrt{5.647}}{\sqrt{6226.38}}\right)=$
$=(1.237,1.341)$

